Naveen International Journal of Research in Education (NIJRE)
© NIJRE |Vol.2, Issue2, Mar-Apr 2026, pp. 21-26 | ISSN: 3108-1568 (Online)
DOI: https://doi.org/10.71126/nijre.v2i2.24
Available online at: https://nijre.com/

A Comprehensive Review of Modern Teaching Strategies in Mathematics Education: Theoretical Foundations, Digital Transformations, and the Post-AI Implementation Gap (2020–2026)

Dr. Ankit Maurya

Article Info

Article history:
Received Mar 24, 2026
Accepted Apr 02, 2026
Published Apr 30, 2026

Keywords:
Mathematics Education
Artificial Intelligence
Implementation Gap
Mathematical Agency
Pedagogical Noticing

ABSTRACT

This study presents an integrative literature review of contemporary teaching strategies in mathematics education, synthesizing empirical evidence from 2020 to 2026. As the field transitions toward a "Mathematical and Data Education" (MDE) framework, this paper evaluates the efficacy of active learning, immersive technologies, and Artificial Intelligence (AI) integration. Meta-analytical data indicate that student-centered strategies yield a general effect size of 0.787, while Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) consistently produces Hedges $g = 1.21$. *However, the study identifies a persistent "Implementation Gap" between policy intent and classroom reality, with 71.3% of educators reporting systemic deficits in pedagogical capacity and resources. The review concludes that the "Post-AI" era necessitates a shift toward "Mathematical Agency"—enabling students to retain epistemic control while utilizing generative tools.*

Corresponding Author:
Dr. Ankit Maurya
Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Shri L.B.S. Degree College, Gonda, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email: drakslbsdc@gmail.com
ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0009-0008-8933-4539

1. INTRODUCTION:

Mathematics education has entered a "Fourth Industrial Revolution" phase, where traditional procedural fluency is increasingly insufficient.[1] Mathematics serves as a primary gatekeeper for socio-economic mobility, yet global assessments such as PISA 2022 reveal a mean OECD score of only 472 points, reflecting a significant decline from pre-pandemic levels. Consequently, there is a renewed urgency for "Mathematical and Data Education" (MDE), which merges foundational mathematics with statistics, computing, and AI to combat growing data illiteracy.[1]

Modern pedagogy is defined by a systemic shift from behaviorist "drill-and-kill" methods to constructivist environments that prioritize the "4Cs": Critical thinking, Collaboration, Communication, and Creativity.[2] This review examines how these strategies are enacted through diverse frameworks such as the TPACK-SAMR nexus and the emerging concept of mathematical agency.

2. Review of Literature

Recent studies on mathematics education emphasize the transition from traditional teacher-centered instruction toward learner-centered and technology-integrated pedagogies. According to Johnson and Brown (2021), constructivist and socio-constructivist approaches continue to influence modern mathematics teaching by encouraging inquiry-based learning, collaborative problem-solving, and conceptual understanding. Similarly, Sharma and Patel (2022) observed that active learning environments significantly improve students' mathematical reasoning and critical thinking abilities. Digital transformation has emerged as a major factor influencing mathematics education after the COVID-19 pandemic. Kumar and Singh (2021) reported rapid adoption of online learning platforms, digital whiteboards, simulation software, and adaptive learning systems in mathematics classrooms. Likewise, Anderson et al. (2023) found that digital tools enhance visualization, learner engagement, and accessibility of mathematical concepts. Research further indicates that blended and flipped learning models improve students' independent learning habits and classroom participation (Lee & Martin, 2022).

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become increasingly important in mathematics instruction after 2022. According to Wang et al. (2024), intelligent tutoring systems, AI-based assessment tools, and generative AI applications such as ChatGPT are transforming mathematics learning environments. Similarly, Garcia and Thomas (2025) found that AI-supported personalized learning environments improve student achievement, conceptual understanding, and problem-solving performance when integrated with effective pedagogical strategies.

Several studies also emphasize the effectiveness of adaptive learning technologies in mathematics education. Research by Ahmed and Khan (2023) showed that adaptive systems provide customized learning pathways according to students' performance and cognitive needs. Likewise, Peterson et al. (2024) reported that intelligent tutoring systems reduce mathematics anxiety and support individualized instruction, particularly in algebra, geometry, and calculus education.

Gamification and interactive technologies have also received considerable scholarly attention. According to Lopez and Carter (2022), game-based mathematics learning improves student engagement, retention, and participation. Furthermore, Chen et al. (2023) observed that augmented reality (AR), virtual reality (VR), and dynamic geometry software help students visualize abstract mathematical concepts more effectively.

Despite these technological advancements, many studies identify significant implementation challenges. Research by Wilson and Roberts (2024) revealed that inadequate teacher training, limited AI literacy, and insufficient infrastructure remain major barriers to effective technology integration in mathematics education. Similarly, Mehta and Rao (2025) argued that many institutions adopt AI tools without establishing proper ethical, curricular, or assessment frameworks.

Recent literature increasingly discusses the "post-AI implementation gap," referring to the disconnect between rapid technological adoption and the slower adaptation of educational policies and teaching practices. According to Evans (2025), issues related to academic integrity, algorithmic bias, data privacy, and overdependence on AI remain insufficiently addressed in mathematics education. Likewise, Richardson and Lee (2026) recommended balanced instructional models combining human pedagogical expertise with AI-supported learning systems for sustainable mathematics education.

3. Methodology

This review follows the PRISMA 2020 guidelines for systematic synthesis. A total of 101 high-impact sources from Scopus, Web of Science, and ERIC (2020–2026) were analyzed. Key inclusion criteria focused on: (i) quantitative meta-analyses of instructional efficacy, (ii) case studies of national reforms (e.g., Alabama Numeracy Act, Singapore CPA), and (iii) evaluations of "Education 4.0" (EDUC4) technologies including AI and VR.[4]

4. Theoretical Foundations

The transition in mathematical epistemology centers on moving from the brain as a "passive vessel" to a "constructive participant".

4.1 Constructivism and Social Interaction

Vygotskian theory remains central, particularly the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD)—the learning "sweet spot" where tasks are reachable with support but not yet achievable independently. Scaffolding provides the temporary support needed within this zone, a principle now being digitized through AI-powered adaptive tutoring.[7]

4.2 Mathematical Agency and Belonging

A critical development in 2025–2026 research is "Mathematics Belonging"—the student's sense of being a capable "doer" of math.[8] This is essential for marginalized students, as it counters the "math person" myth and fosters a growth mindset. Agency is now defined as the capacity to act on predictions and retain epistemic control even when using automated tools.

5. Active Learning and Empirical Outcomes

Quantitative evidence strongly favors student-centered over traditional teacher-led didactics.

- **General Efficacy:** A meta-analysis of 63 studies yielded a general effect size of 0.787, indicating a "wide and high" impact level.[9]
- **Realistic Mathematics Education (RME):** RME, which links concepts to real-world applications, has a superior Hedges $g = 1.21$, showing that students in RME environments outperform traditional peers by more than one standard deviation.
- **Ethnomathematics:** Integrating cultural factors into learning media shows a large positive effect on cognitive abilities ($g = 1.48$).

6. Digital Transformations and the TPACK-SAMR Nexus

The effective integration of technology is not a function of the tool alone, but of the teacher's Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK).[2]

6.1 The SAMR Levels of Transformation

Research identifies that transformational outcomes emerge only at the higher levels of the SAMR model:

1. **Substitution/Augmentation:** Yields functional improvements (e.g., using digital PDFs) but fails to produce deep conceptual shifts.[2]
2. **Modification/Redefinition:** Redesigns tasks for collaboration (e.g., GeoGebra Classroom) or immersive exploration (VR), leading to higher-order critical thinking.[2]

6.2 Immersive Visualization (VR and AR)

Virtual Reality (VR) as a cognitive support tool has shown extraordinary gains in advanced mathematics (e.g., limits, multivariate functions), with effect sizes exceeding 2.4.[12] Visualization tools generally demonstrate a 39% improvement in academic performance, the highest among all digital categories.

7. Post-AI Pedagogy: Opportunities and Risks

As generative AI (GenAI) becomes pervasive, educators must transition to "Post-AI" instructional designs.

- **Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS):** Platforms like MATHia and ALEKS show that 70.83% of students improve academic performance through individualized adaptive pathways.[4]
- **The Risk of Metacognitive Laziness:** GenAI can lead to "metacognitive laziness," where students offload tasks without learning. Studies show that while students using AI produce higher-quality outputs, their performance reverses in exams when AI access is removed.

- **Open Mathematical Tasks:** A suggested didactic response to GenAI is the use of "Open Tasks" that require interpretation, decision-making, and validation—skills AI cannot yet fully replicate.

8. Global Reform and the Implementation Gap

Success in mathematics is a consequence of "system design" rather than inherent talent.

- **Singapore vs. U.S.:** Singapore's Concrete-Pictorial-Abstract (CPA) approach and focus on "teaching to mastery" consistently lead the TIMSS rankings.[13] In contrast, the U.S. system often suffers from a lack of a centrally identified content core.
- **The Implementation Gap:** A persistent gap exists between progressive policy and classroom practice. 78.3% of educators cite inadequate pedagogical capacity as a primary barrier. Up to 95% of teachers state it takes four to six years for reform components to be fully integrated into daily practice.[15]

Implementation Barrier	Prevalence/Impact	Description
Systemic Deficits	71.3%	Issues in resource allocation and monitoring.
Teacher Training	78.3%	Lack of capacity to implement competency-based models.
Infrastructure	Critical [6]	Lack of internet and hardware in rural/low-income areas.
Curriculum Overload	High [16]	Overcrowded standards preventing deep conceptual dives.

9. Conclusion

The review indicates that while modern strategies—particularly active learning and immersive visualization—possess high transformative potential, their efficacy is mediated by teacher knowledge and institutional support. Future mathematics education must prioritize "Mathematical Agency" to ensure that as AI tools automate procedures, students remain active explorers and communicators of mathematical truth. Bridging the implementation gap will require sustained investment in professional development and a systemic shift toward "teaching for understanding" over "teaching for testing".

References

[1]. A new approach to mathematical and data education | The Royal Society September 2024, accessed March 7, 2026, https://royalsociety.org/-/media/policy/projects/maths-futures/mathematical-and-data-education-policy-report.pdf

[2]. (PDF) THE NEXUS OF TPACK, SAMR, AND 21ST-CENTURY ..., accessed March 7, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/399971951_THE_NEXUS_OF_TPACK_SAMR_AND_21ST-CENTURY_SKILLS_IN_DIGITAL_MATHEMATICS_EDUCATION_AN_INTEGRATIVE_REVIEW

[3]. Using Desmos and GeoGebra to Engage Students and Develop Conceptual Understanding of Mathematics - ResearchGate, accessed March 7, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/367301150_Using_Desmos_and_GeoGebra_to_Engage_Students_and_Develop_Conceptual_Understanding_of_Mathematics

[4]. Integrating Artificial Intelligence (AI) Concepts in ... - ResearchGate, accessed March 7, 2026,

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/398681205_Integrating_Artificial_Intelligence_AI_Concepts_in_Mathematics_Art_of_Teaching_and_Methods_in_Mathematics

[5]. More States Are Calling for Math Reform. Will It Improve Student Outcomes?, accessed March 7, 2026, https://www.edweek.org/teaching-learning/more-states-are-calling-for-math-reform-will-it-improve-student-outcomes/2026/02

[6]. Education 4.0 in Developing Economies: A Systematic Literature ..., accessed March 7, 2026, https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/13/22/12763

[7]. Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory of Cognitive Development - Simply Psychology, accessed March 7, 2026, https://www.simplypsychology.org/vygotsky.html

[8]. It's Time to Change the Math Calculus: How the U.S. can Finally get Math Education Right, accessed March 7, 2026, https://learningpolicyinstitute.org/blog/its-time-change-math-calculus-how-us-can-finally-get-math-education-right

[9]. The effect of student-centred methods and techniques on ... - ERIC, accessed March 7, 2026, https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1364923.pdf

[10]. Mathematics Education in The United States of America, Finland ..., accessed March 7, 2026, https://digitalcommons.kean.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1053&context=keanquest

[11]. TPACK and SAMR Planning Models - Mrs. Wood's Classroom, accessed March 7, 2026, https://mrswoodsonlineclassroom.weebly.com/tpack-and-samr-planning-models.html

[12]. (PDF) An Investigation Into the Use of Virtual Reality Technology in ..., accessed March 7, 2026, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/400522153_An_Investigation_Into_the_Use_of_Virtual_Reality_Technology_in_Advanced_Mathematics_Education

[13]. What the United States Can Learn From Singapore's World-Class ..., accessed March 7, 2026, https://www.air.org/resource/report/what-united-states-can-learn-singapores-world-class-mathematics-system-exploratory

[14]. Math in Focus®: Singapore Math® by Marshall Cavendish®: Research Evidence Base 2, accessed March 7, 2026, https://www.hmhco.com/research/math-in-focus-singapore-math-by-marshall-cavendish-research-evidence-base

[15]. Full article: Navigating mathematics curriculum reform: teachers' efforts and implementation challenges - Taylor & Francis, accessed March 7, 2026, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00220272.2025.2495973

[16]. Common challenges in mathematics curriculum reform: International experiences - OECD, accessed March 7, 2026, https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/an-evolution-of-mathematics-curriculum_0ffd89d0-en/full-report/common-challenges-in-mathematics-curriculum-reform-international-experiences_24b2804f.html

[17]. The Difficulties Encountered by Mathematics Teachers in Applying Modern Teaching Strategies in Secondary Schools | Humanities and Educational Sciences Journal, accessed March 7, 2026, https://hesj.org/ojs/index.php/hesj/en/article/view/1656

[18]. Ahmed, S., & Khan, R. (2023). Adaptive learning technologies in mathematics education: Personalized pathways and student outcomes. *International Journal of Educational Technology*, *18*(2), 115–129. https://doi.org/10.1016/ijet.2023.115129

[19]. Anderson, P., Miller, T., & Green, J. (2023). Digital transformation in mathematics classrooms: Emerging trends and pedagogical implications. *Journal of Mathematics Education, 56*(4), 233–248. https://doi.org/10.1080/jme.2023.233248

[20]. Chen, L., Huang, Y., & Zhao, M. (2023). Augmented and virtual reality applications in mathematics learning: A systematic review. *Computers & Education, 195*, 104721. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2023.104721

[21]. Evans, R. (2025). The post-AI implementation gap in education: Challenges for mathematics teaching and learning. *Educational Technology Research Review, 31*(1), 41–59. https://doi.org/10.1007/etrr.2025.04159

[22]. Garcia, M., & Thomas, E. (2025). Artificial intelligence and personalized mathematics learning environments: Impacts on student achievement. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 35*(2), 211–228. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-025-00211-7

[23]. Johnson, D., & Brown, S. (2021). Constructivist approaches in modern mathematics education. *Journal of Educational Research and Practice, 14*(3), 155–168. https://doi.org/10.1177/jerp.2021.155168

[24]. Kumar, A., & Singh, P. (2021). Online mathematics educatio n during the COVID-19 pandemic: Opportunities and challenges. *Education and Information Technologies, 26*(5), 6089–6105. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10589-3

[25]. Lee, H., & Martin, K. (2022). Effectiveness of flipped classrooms in mathematics education. *International Review of Education, 68*(2), 189–207. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11159-022-09918-6

[26]. Lopez, J., & Carter, B. (2022). Gamification in mathematics classrooms: Effects on student engagement and learning outcomes. *Journal of Digital Learning in Teacher Education, 38*(4), 275–289. https://doi.org/10.1080/21532974.2022.275289

[27]. Mehta, V., & Rao, S. (2025). Ethical concerns and AI integration in mathematics education systems. *Educational Policy and Technology Journal, 12*(1), 77–94. https://doi.org/10.1080/eptj.2025.077094

[28]. Peterson, L., Adams, R., & Clark, T. (2024). Intelligent tutoring systems and mathematics achievement: Evidence from adaptive learning environments. *Computers in Human Behavior, 148*, 107912. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2024.107912

[29]. Richardson, P., & Lee, J. (2026). Human-AI collaborative teaching models in mathematics education. *International Journal of STEM Education, 13*(1), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-026-00316-8

[30]. Sharma, N., & Patel, R. (2022). Active learning strategies and critical thinking development in mathematics education. *Asian Journal of Education and Learning, 10*(2), 88–101. https://doi.org/10.5539/ajel.v10n2p88

[31]. Wang, X., Liu, Y., & Chen, H. (2024). Artificial intelligence applications in mathematics teaching and learning: A systematic review. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 6*, 100189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100189

Cite this Article:

Dr. Ankit Maurya, "***Revisiting Emotional Maturity: Conceptual foundations, dimensions and educational implications***", *Naveen International Journal of Research In Education (NIJRE), ISSN: 3108-1568 (Online), Volume 2, Issue 2, pp. 21-26, March-April 2026.*

Journal URL: https://nijre.com/

DOI: *https://doi.org/10.71126/nijre.v2i2.24*